

## BIOPHYSICS

# Topological tuning of DNA mobility in entangled solutions of supercoiled plasmids

Jan Smrek<sup>1</sup>, Jonathan Garamella<sup>2</sup>, Rae Robertson-Anderson<sup>2</sup>, Davide Michieletto<sup>3,4\*</sup>

Ring polymers in dense solutions are among the most intriguing problems in polymer physics. Because of its natural occurrence in circular form, DNA has been extensively used as a proxy to study the fundamental physics of ring polymers in different topological states. Yet, torsionally constrained—such as supercoiled—topologies have been largely neglected so far. The applicability of existing theoretical models to dense supercoiled DNA is thus unknown. Here, we address this gap by coupling large-scale molecular dynamics simulations with differential dynamic microscopy of entangled supercoiled DNA plasmids. We find that, unexpectedly, larger supercoiling increases the size of entangled plasmids and concomitantly induces an enhancement in DNA mobility. These findings are reconciled as due to supercoiling-driven asymmetric and double-folded plasmid conformations that reduce interplasmid entanglements and threadings. Our results suggest a way to topologically tune DNA mobility via supercoiling, thus enabling topological control over the (micro)rheology of DNA-based complex fluids.

## INTRODUCTION

The DNA not only is the central molecule of life but also is now increasingly used for biocompatible and responsive materials—such as DNA hydrogels (1) and origami (2)—with applications in medicine and nanotechnology (3). One feature that renders DNA a unique polymer is its ability to encode information, and this is now extensively leveraged to make complex structures (3, 4) and even self-replicating materials (5); another feature that distinguishes DNA from other synthetic polymers is its unique geometry, i.e., that of a (right-handed) helix with a well-defined pitch, which entails that DNA can display both bending and torsional stiffness (6). Unlike DNA's information-encoding capabilities, its geometrical features are far less exploited to create synthetic materials. In fact, DNA is, at present, largely used to make up biopolymer complex fluids in its simplest geometrical forms, i.e., that of a linear or relaxed circular (torsionally unconstrained) molecule (7–9). Despite this, most naturally occurring DNA is under torsional and topological constraints, either because it is circular and non-nicked, as in bacteria (10), or because of the binding of proteins that restrict the relative rotation of base pairs, as in eukaryotes (11–13). The torsional stress stored in a closed DNA molecule cannot be mechanically relaxed (in the absence of topoisomerase proteins) but only rearranged or converted into bending to minimize the overall conformational free energy (14, 15). This entails that supercoiling—the linking deficit between sister DNA strands with respect to their relaxed state—can carry conformational information (16) that can affect the static and dynamic properties of DNA plasmids (14) and even regulate gene transcription (17). Here, we propose that supercoiling may also be leveraged to tune the dynamics of DNA plasmids in solution, thus potentially allowing fine control over the rheology of DNA-based complex fluids in a way that is orthogonal to varying DNA length (18), concentration (19), or architecture (7, 20). Last, entangled solutions of DNA plasmids are interesting not only because of their potential applications in bio- and

nanotechnology but also because they enable us to study fundamental questions on the physics of ring polymers—one of the most active fields of soft matter research (21–29)—due to the extremely precise control over DNA lengths and topology (7–9) and access to sophisticated visualization techniques (30).

To characterize the effect of DNA supercoiling on the rheology of entangled solutions of plasmids, here, we perform large-scale molecular dynamics simulations of entangled DNA plasmids (Fig. 1, A to C), modeled as coarse-grained twistable chains (31). We find that while isolated DNA plasmids typically display a collapse with increasing levels of supercoiling [estimated via simulations (32) or gel electrophoresis (33)], here, we show that entangled DNA plasmids typically increase their average size with supercoiling. We further find that despite this swelling, larger supercoiling is accompanied by an enhanced mobility of the plasmids. This finding is counterintuitive and in marked contrast with standard polymer systems (34) in which larger polymer sizes correlate with slower diffusion. This speedup is also observed in differential dynamic microscopy (DDM) experiments performed on entangled plasmids with different supercoiling degrees. Last, we use sophisticated techniques involving minimal surface construction and primitive path analysis (PPA) to quantify the abundance of threadings and entanglements between plasmids in solution and find that larger supercoiling decreases both of these topological constraints, in turn explaining the enhanced mobility.

We argue that our results will be key to enabling the design of complex fluids with rheology that can be precisely tuned using a combination of DNA length, concentration, topology, and supercoiling. Beyond providing blueprints for realizing the next generation of biomimetic DNA-based materials, our results can also shed light into the dynamics of DNA *in vivo*.

## RESULTS

### Computational model for DNA plasmids

DNA is represented as a twistable elastic chain (31) made of beads of size  $\sigma_b = 2.5 \text{ nm} \approx 7.35 \text{ base pairs (bp)}$  connected by finitely extensible springs and interacting via a purely repulsive Lennard-Jones potential to avoid spontaneous chain crossing (see Fig. 1) (35). In addition to these potentials, a bending stiffness of  $l_p = 50 \text{ nm}$  (6) is

Copyright © 2021  
The Authors, some  
rights reserved;  
exclusive licensee  
American Association  
for the Advancement  
of Science. No claim to  
original U.S. Government  
Works. Distributed  
under a Creative  
Commons Attribution  
NonCommercial  
License 4.0 (CC BY-NC).


<sup>1</sup>Faculty of Physics, University of Vienna, Boltzmannngasse 5, A-1090 Vienna, Austria.

<sup>2</sup>Department of Physics and Biophysics, University of San Diego, San Diego, CA 92110, USA.

<sup>3</sup>School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Edinburgh Peter Guthrie Tait Road, Edinburgh EH9 3FD, UK.

<sup>4</sup>MRC Human Genetics Unit, Institute of Genetics and Molecular Medicine University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh EH4 2XU, UK.

\*Corresponding author. Email: [davide.michieletto@ed.ac.uk](mailto:davide.michieletto@ed.ac.uk)



**Fig. 1. Modeling supercoiled plasmids as twistable chains.** (A) Snapshot of simulation of entangled plasmids with length  $L = 200\sigma_b \approx 1.47$  kbp and  $\sigma = 0.04$ . (B) A single plasmid taken from (A), with an inset showing the patches in detail. (C to E) Snapshots of plasmids with (C)  $\sigma = 0$ ,  $L = 100\sigma_b \approx 750$  bp, (D)  $\sigma = 0.06$ ,  $L = 100\sigma_b \approx 750$  bp, and (E)  $\sigma = 0.06$ ,  $L = 400\sigma_b \approx 3$  kbp. Backbone beads are shown in gray; one set of patches is shown in orange. The other patches are not shown for clarity. (F) Sketch of tilt  $\theta$  and twist  $\psi$  between consecutive beads (another angle  $\psi$  is set between blue patches, not shown). The tilt angle  $\theta$  is subject to a stiff potential with equilibrium  $\theta_0 = \pi$  to maintain the frame coplanar and aligned with the backbone.

set via a Kratky-Porod term and two torsional springs (dihedrals) constrain the relative rotation of consecutive beads,  $\psi$ , at a user-defined value  $\psi_0$ . The torsional angle between consecutive beads  $\psi$  is determined by decorating each bead with three patches, which provides a reference frame running along the DNA backbone. We finally impose a stiff harmonic spring to constrain the tilt angle  $\theta = \pi$  so to align the frame with the backbone, i.e., along its local tangent (see Fig. 1D). The simulations are performed at fixed monomer density  $\rho\sigma_b^3 = 0.08$  (corresponding to a volume fraction  $\phi = 4\%$  and  $\phi/\phi^* \approx 16$  with  $\phi^* = 0.26\%$ ) and by evolving the equation of motion for the beads coupled to a heat bath in LAMMPS (Large-scale Atomic/Molecular Massively Parallel Simulator) (see Materials and Methods) (36).

The user-defined angle  $\psi_0$  directly determines the thermodynamically preferred pitch of the twistable chains as  $p = 2\pi/\psi_0$ , and in turn, this fixes the preferred linking number to  $Lk = M/p$ , where  $M$  is the number of beads in the plasmid. The twist is enforced by a harmonic potential with stiffness  $\kappa_t = 50\sigma_b = 125$  nm comparable with the torsional persistence length of DNA (6). In this model, the degree of supercoiling is defined as  $\sigma \equiv Lk/M = 1/p$ . The twist is set by initializing the patchy polymer as a flat ribbon and by subsequently slowly increasing the stiffness of the potential associated with the twist degree of freedom. Ultimately, by imposing the angle  $\psi_0$ , one can achieve the desired  $\sigma$  (which may be zero, if  $\psi_0 = 0$  or  $p = \infty$ ). It should be noted that we will also consider nontorsionally constrained plasmids in which the torsional stiffness is set to  $\kappa_t = 0$  mimicking nicked circular plasmids. We recall that for supercoiled circular DNA, the exchange of local torsion (twist  $Tw$ ) into bending (writhe  $Wr$ ) must obey the White-Fuller-Călugăreanu (WFC) (37) theorem, i.e.,  $Lk = Tw + Wr$ , thus conserving the linking number  $Lk$  (and thus the supercoiling  $\sigma = Lk/M$ ) between the two DNA single strands (Fig. 1, B to D). Notice that our polymer model is symmetric with respect to

supercoiling; we will thus refer to  $\sigma$  without specifying its sign. Last, by simulating an ensemble of linear DNA molecules, we have computed the entanglement length for this model to be  $M_{e,linear} = 54 \pm 2$  beads (about 400 bp) via standard PPA (see the Supplementary Materials).

### Supercoiling increases the average size of DNA plasmids in entangled conditions

The conformational properties of polymers in solution are typically studied in terms of the gyration tensor


$$R_T^{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{2M^2} \sum_{i,j=1}^M (r_i^\alpha - r_j^\alpha)(r_i^\beta - r_j^\beta) \quad (1)$$

where  $r_i^\alpha$  denotes the coordinate  $\alpha$  of the position of bead  $i$ . The (square) radius of gyration is then defined as the trace,  $R_g^2 \equiv \text{Tr}[R_T]$ . We find that the time and ensemble average of  $R_g^2$  scales as  $\langle R_g^2 \rangle \sim L^{2\nu}$ , with metric exponents  $\nu \approx 3/5$  for highly supercoiled plasmids (see Fig. 2A and fig. S1). Instead, relaxed chains display a short chain regime with  $\nu \approx 1/2$  ( $M \leq 200$ ) and a crossover to smaller values of  $\nu \approx 0.35$  for larger chains ( $M \geq 400$ ). These exponents suggests that relaxed plasmids in entangled solutions assume conformations similar to the ones of standard ring polymers (38), i.e.,  $\nu = 1/2$  for small  $M/M_{e,linear} \lesssim 10$  and  $\nu \approx 1/3$  for large  $M/M_{e,linear} \gtrsim 10$  (note that for our longest plasmids  $M/M_{e,linear} \approx 16$ ; hence, we capture the crossover to the compact regime). On the other hand, supercoiling-driven writhing induces stronger self-interactions that are no longer screened by the neighbors (see Fig. 1, B and C); in this case, we thus observe a larger metric exponent  $\nu$  compatible with that of a self-avoiding walk. In the asymptotic limit  $M \rightarrow \infty$ , we expect dense systems of supercoiled plasmids to fall into the universality class of ideal (annealed) branched polymers (39, 40), for which  $\nu = 1/3$ . This is the same exponent expected for very long flexible ring polymers, although the precise folding structure will be different.

The effect of supercoiling on the average size of plasmids can be better appreciated in Fig. 2B, where we show the (squared) radius of gyration rescaled by its value for relaxed plasmids and plotted against supercoiling. It is readily apparent that for long plasmids (e.g.,  $M \geq 400 \approx 3$  kb) the greater the supercoiling, the monotonically larger their typical size. We highlight that this behavior is highly counter-intuitive, as one expects supercoiling to induce a compaction of plasmids, as indeed is found computationally in dilute conditions (32). At the same time, supercoiled plasmids travel faster than their relaxed counterparts in gel electrophoresis (33) because of their overall reduced size. Supercoiling is also often associated with the packaging of the bacterial genome (10, 41) and with organization into topological domains in eukaryotes (12, 13, 42). On the contrary, here, we observe a monotonic increase of  $R_g$  with supercoiling that is in marked contrast with the overall shrinking in dilute conditions (this shrinking is recapitulated by our model when simulated in dilute conditions; see fig. S1) (32).

We argue that this stark difference is due to interchain effects and the global topological invariance of the system. While supercoiled plasmids may want to reduce their overall size, they must also remain topologically unlinked from the neighbors. In turn, the competition between this global topological constraint and the torsional and bending rigidities appears to favor swelling of long molecules ( $L > 200\sigma \approx 1.5$  kbp) but still drives the collapse of short ones (Fig. 2B).

For the shortest plasmids considered here ( $M = 100 \approx 730$  bp), we observe an interesting exception to the behavior described above, whereby the typical size is nonmonotonic for increasing supercoiling



**Fig. 2. Supercoiling increases plasmids size in entangled conditions.** (A and B) Radius of gyration  $R_g$  plotted against (A) contour length  $M$  and (B) supercoiling  $\sigma$ . Notice that for short lengths  $M = 100$ , increasing  $\sigma$  induces a collapse of the plasmids, whereas for longer lengths it drives swelling. The scaling of  $R_g$  as a function of plasmid length  $M$  is compatible with that of flexible rings [ $\nu = 1/2$  with crossover to  $\nu \approx 1/3$  (38)] and that of self-avoiding walks ( $\nu = 3/5$ ) for relaxed and highly supercoiled plasmids, respectively. (C) The distribution of  $R_g$  for  $M = 100$  is weakly bimodal, showing that plasmids can be in either an “open” or a “collapsed” state. Setting a supercoiling  $\sigma = 0$  stabilizes the open state, whereas  $\sigma > 0$  induces writhing and collapse. (D) For longer plasmids ( $M = 400$ ), larger supercoiling  $\sigma$  broadens the distribution enlarges the average size. The unit of length is  $\sigma_b = 2.5$  nm, and the entanglement length for linear counterparts is  $M_{e,linear} = 54$  beads.

levels. We attribute this peculiar behavior to a buckling transition (see below). More specifically, for  $\sigma = 0$ , we find that the conformations are typically larger than the relaxed ones, but they suddenly become more collapsed for  $\sigma > 0$  (Fig. 2B). [Notice that with  $\sigma = 0$ , we mean plasmids that are intact and torsionally constrained to have linking number deficit equal to zero. These are different from relaxed (nicked) plasmids that are not torsionally constrained, as the latter do not need to obey the WFC theorem; we denote them with “R” throughout.] We also examined the distributions of radius of gyration and noticed that relaxed short plasmids display a weakly bimodal distribution that is not found in larger plasmids (Fig. 2, C and D). This bimodal distribution reflects the fact that they can be found in two typical conformational states: either open (large  $R_g$ ) or more collapsed (small  $R_g$ ); imposing a certain supercoiling level appears to lock the molecules in one of the two states. Because the conformational space of non-nicked plasmids must satisfy the WFC topological conservation law, zero supercoiling ( $Lk = \sigma = 0$ ) hinders the writhing of the plasmid because it would be energetically too costly for them to writhe multiple times with opposite sign to achieve a null global writhe, given their short length ( $L/l_p = 5$ ). This entails that short plasmids with  $\sigma = 0$  are locked into open, not self-entangled, conformations. On the contrary, for  $\sigma > 0$ , the imposed writhing induces a conformational collapse, akin to a sharp buckling transition (43).

We note that the stable open state at  $\sigma = 0$  for short plasmids is similar to the one computationally observed in dense solutions of semiflexible rings (44). These systems are expected to give rise to exotic columnar phases that would be thus intriguing to investigate in the context of dense solutions of short non-nicked plasmids with  $\sigma = 0$ .

We finally stress once more that the monotonic increase observed for long plasmids of their typical size with supercoiling is neither expected nor trivial and is in marked contrast with the overall shrinking behavior found in the literature for long dilute supercoiled plasmids (32). Because the monomer concentration is constant for all of the systems studied, and the critical overlap concentration scales as  $c^* = 3M / (4\pi R_g^3)$ , one finds that  $c/c^*$  increases with supercoiling. Thus, one would naively expect solutions of supercoiled plasmids to be effectively more entangled than their relaxed counterparts. As a consequence, we would also expect highly supercoiled long plasmids to display reduced mobility with respect to relaxed ones.

### Supercoiling enhances DNA mobility


We study the dynamics of entangled plasmids at different levels of supercoiling by computing the time- and ensemble-averaged mean squared displacement (TAMSD) of the center of mass (CM) of the plasmids as  $g_3(t) = \langle [\mathbf{r}_{CM,i}(t + t_0) - \mathbf{r}_{CM,i}(t_0)]^2 \rangle_{i, t_0}$  (other  $g_i$  quantities are reported in fig. S4). Curves for  $g_3$  are shown in Fig. 3 (A and B) for different values of plasmid supercoiling and length. At odds with the findings of the previous section, we find that higher values of  $\sigma$  yield faster mobility especially for longer plasmids.

The diffusion coefficient of the center of mass computed as  $D_{CM} = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} g_3(t) / 6t$  allows us to more precisely quantify how the mobility of the plasmids changes as a function of length and supercoiling. We find that while  $D_{CM}$  attains a plateau at small  $\sigma$ , at larger supercoiling it increases exponentially (see Fig. 3C), albeit more simulations are needed to confirm this conjecture (see below for an argument supporting the exponentially faster mobility). In addition, we find that the diffusion coefficient as a function of plasmid length scales as  $D_{CM} \sim M^{-2.2}$  and  $M^{-2.45}$  for relaxed and highly supercoiled large plasmids, and is compatible with the scaling of torsionally relaxed and flexible ring polymers (Fig. 3D) (22). The slightly stronger dependence on plasmid length for larger supercoiling suggests that these plasmids may effectively undergo a more traditional reptation-like relaxation and for which we expect  $D \sim M^{-2.4}$  (22, 35). As we shall see below, this conjecture is confirmed by the fact that we find most of the plasmids to display two plectonemic tips and thus preferentially assume linear-like rather than branched structures (see also the Supplementary Materials).

We finally note that the solutions with  $M = 800 \approx 6$  kbp are not displaying a freely diffusive behavior despite the fact that we ran them for more than  $10^7$  Brownian times (see table S1); in turn,  $D_{CM}$  is overestimated as its calculation assumes free diffusion. Despite this, values of  $D_{CM}$  for  $M = 800 \approx 6$  kbp nicely follow the general trend of the other datasets (see Fig. 3, C and D).

### DDM of DNA plasmids confirms simulations

To experimentally validate the prediction that supercoiling enhances the mobility of plasmids in dense solutions, we perform fluorescence microscopy experiments on 3 mg/ml solutions (corresponding to a volume fraction of 0.4%) made of 6-kb plasmids. We label 0.001% of the molecules in solution and use DDM to determine the diffusion coefficient from videos recorded on a custom fluorescence light-sheet




**Fig. 3. Supercoiling enhances plasmid mobility.** (A and B) Time-averaged mean squared displacement (TAMSD =  $g_3$ ) of the plasmids for (A)  $M = 100 \approx 730$  bp and (B)  $M = 400 \approx 3$  kbp. Dotted lines are linear functions of lag time as a guide to the eye. (C and D) Diffusion coefficient of the center of mass  $D_{CM} = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} g_3(t)/6t$  against (C) supercoiling  $\sigma$  and (D) length  $M$ . In (C), exponentials  $\sim \exp(\sigma/0.05)$  (solid) and  $\sim \exp(\sigma/0.02)$  (dashed) are drawn as a guide to the eye (see below for a justification of exponential speedup). In (D), the best fits to the largest  $M$  for relaxed (nicked) and  $\sigma = 0.06$  yield  $M^{-2.2}$  and  $M^{-2.45}$ , respectively. Error bars are comparable to symbol size. R = “relaxed.”

microscope (Fig. 4A) (45). DDM, as compared to single-particle tracking, allows us to measure the dynamics of the diffusing molecules without having to resolve and track individual molecules over time—optimal for DNA of this size ( $R_g < 100$  nm). To pinpoint the role of supercoiling, we compare a solution of plasmids extracted from *Escherichia coli* in the stationary phase against the same solution pretreated with topoisomerase I to relax the excess supercoiling (see Materials and Methods) (46).

As one can notice (see Fig. 4B), the intermediate scattering function (ISF) shows a faster decay for supercoiled DNA compared to relaxed circular DNA, indicating faster dynamics. We fit each ISF with a stretched exponential  $f(q, t) = \exp[-(t/\tau)^\gamma]$  using  $\gamma \approx 0.9 - 1$  to determine the decay time  $\tau$  as a function of  $q$  (Fig. 4C). As shown, the decay times are well fitted by a power law  $\sim q^{-2}$  that we use to extract the diffusion coefficients via the relation  $\tau = (2Dq^2)^{-1}$ . The resulting diffusion coefficients are  $D = 0.34(1) \mu\text{m}^2/\text{s}$  and  $D = 0.44(1) \mu\text{m}^2/\text{s}$  for relaxed and supercoiled solutions, respectively.

We should note that while our choice of plasmid length allows us to purify them without introducing substantial nicks ( $\sim 80\%$  are without nicks and thus supercoiled), determining their precise supercoiling level is not straightforward. In vivo, supercoiling for plasmids in the stationary phase of cell growth (the phase at which we extract our plasmids) is  $\sim 2\%$  (47, 48). Thus, these results suggest that increasing supercoiling in solutions of entangled plasmids speeds them up and are thus in qualitative agreement with the simulations.




**Fig. 4. DDM of entangled plasmid DNA confirms the predictions from molecular dynamics simulations.** (A) Snapshot from light-sheet microscopy showing fluorescent 5.9-kbp DNA plasmids (comparable with  $M = 800$  is the molecular dynamics simulations) at a concentration of 3 mg/ml concentration [ $c^* \approx 0.6$  mg/ml (49) and  $c/c^* \approx 5$ ]. (B) Intermediate scattering function (ISF) obtained from DDM measurements. (C) Scaling of the ISF decay time with wave vector, showing that it scales as  $q^{-2}$ . The fitted diffusion coefficients are  $D = 0.34(1) \mu\text{m}^2/\text{s}$  and  $D = 0.44(1) \mu\text{m}^2/\text{s}$  for relaxed and supercoiled plasmids, respectively.

We should mention that while the experiments are at lower volume fraction with respect to simulations (when considering bare DNA), the buffering condition effectively thickens the diameter of DNA (49), thus rendering the precise comparison of experimental and simulated volume fractions difficult. We also note that because of the small size of the plasmids, we are unable to accurately measure their size using single-molecule imaging. In turn, this renders the precise estimation of the overlap concentration also challenging [indirectly estimated to be about  $c^* \approx 0.6$  mg/ml (18, 49)]. We are currently investigating alternative approaches, such as dynamic light scattering, so that in future work we can compare the intriguing predictions regarding the different sizes of supercoiled and relaxed circular DNA in dense solutions.

### Supercoiling induces a buckling transition in short plasmids

The consequence of writhing on the plasmid conformations is not captured by  $R_g$  alone (50, 51). Instead, it is informative to study shape descriptors that can be computed via the eigenvalues of the gyration tensor  $R_T$  (which we denote as  $a$ ,  $b$ , and  $c$ , with  $a > b > c$  and  $R_g^2 = a + b + c$ ). Typical shape descriptors are the asphericity (50–52)  $a = [(a - b)^2 + (a - c)^2 + (b - c)^2]/2R_g^4$ , which quantifies the deviation from a perfectly spherical arrangement and the nature of asphericity quantified by either the prolateness (see fig. S2) or the anisotropy  $an = 3(a^2 + b^2 + c^2)/(2R_g^4) - 1/2$  (shown in Fig. 5, A and B). These shape descriptors reveal that for  $M = 100 \approx 730$  bp and  $\sigma = 0$ , plasmids are stabilized in an open, highly symmetric, and oblate (M&M’s) state. Furthermore, they reveal that these short plasmids undergo a buckling transition to a closed, asymmetric, and prolate (rugby ball) shape for  $\sigma > 0$ . The sharp first-order-like buckling transition (see Fig. 5A and the Supplementary Materials) is weakened for larger contour lengths (see Fig. 5B), as self-writhing is energetically allowed even for  $\sigma = 0$  (negative and positive self-crossings



**Fig. 5. Supercoiling induces buckling in short plasmids and reduces the threadable area.** (A) The anisotropy shape descriptor ( $\text{an}$ , see text) for short plasmids  $M = 100 \approx 730$  bp displays a sharp buckling transition between an open and roughly symmetric state for  $\sigma = 0$  and a collapsed and anisotropic one for  $\sigma > 0$ . In inset, two examples of conformations are shown. (B) For longer plasmids ( $M \geq 200 \approx 1.5$  kbp), supercoiling shifts the anisotropy to larger values, indicating a smoother transition to more prolate conformations. (C) Scaling of the average minimal surface size  $\langle \Sigma \rangle$  as a function of plasmid length (solid line shows the linear scaling). In inset, two examples of surfaces for  $M = 100 \approx 730$  bp are shown. (D) The size of the minimal surface area monotonically decreases with supercoiling (with the exception of short  $M \leq 200 \approx 1.5$  kbp plasmids). The solid and dashed lines scale as  $1/\sigma$  and  $e^{-\sigma/0.035}$ , respectively, and are drawn as a guide to the eye.  $R =$  relaxed. The unit of length is  $\sigma_b = 2.5$  nm. The error bars, typically smaller than the symbol size, represent the error of the mean area.

must cancel each other to satisfy the WFC conservation law). At the same time, both short and long plasmids display a general increase in asphericity, prolateness, and anisotropy with increasing supercoiling, strongly suggesting that the plasmids assume elongated and double-folded conformations (see fig. S2).

### Supercoiling decreases the spanning minimal surface

It is natural to associate the open-oblate/closed-prolate conformations assumed by DNA plasmids to a larger/smaller (minimal) spanning area, respectively (53). The size of this area may be relevant for the dynamics because it could be “threaded” by neighboring plasmids, hence hindering the dynamics (24, 54, 55). To quantify this in more detail, we calculated the minimal surface (53) using the algorithm in (55, 56) for flexible ring polymers. We found that the minimal area grows approximately linearly with the plasmids’ contour, as expected for  $v \leq 1/2$  (Fig. 5C) (55). We also observed that it overall decreased with supercoiling with the notable exception of short  $M \leq 200 \approx 1.5$  kbp plasmids, for which there is a small increase for  $\sigma = 0$  with respect to the relaxed case, again confirming the “topological locking” of open conformations (Fig. 2A).

A crude way to estimate the decrease in “threadable” area of a plasmid  $\Sigma$  is via recursive bisections of a perfect circle into several

connected smaller circles joined at a vertex mimicking writhe-induced self-crossing. Each time a circle is split into two smaller ones, the new radii are  $R' \approx R/2$  and thus  $n$  circles (with  $n - 1$  self-crossings) have radii  $R' = R/n$ , yielding an overall spanning surface  $\Sigma \approx n\pi(R/n)^2 \sim 1/n \sim 1/\sigma$ . The same scaling of the threadable area is obtained if considers the supercoil as if wrapped around a cylinder of radius  $r$  (57) and projected in 2D; in this case, one would find that the enclosed area in each of the  $n$  superhelix turns is about  $rL_{ee}/(n - 1)$ , where  $L_{ee}$  is the end-to-end length of the plasmid. Given that  $r \sim 1/\sigma$  (57) and that  $L_{ee}$  is expected to be insensitive on  $\sigma$  for large supercoiling (see also Fig. 2B showing plateauing of  $R_g$  for  $M \leq 400$ ), one finds a total threadable area scaling as  $\Sigma \approx nrL_{ee}/(n - 1) \sim 1/\sigma$ . The fact that our data are instead more compatible with an exponential decrease of  $\Sigma$  as a function of supercoiling (Fig. 5D) suggests that the approximation of the supercoil wrapped around a cylinder may not be accurate. In fact, considering the large persistence length of DNA, it may be thermodynamically preferred to flatten and shrink the many inner openings at the expense of storing longer contour length at the fewer tips (see also snapshots in Fig. 1, B to E, and inset of Fig. 5C). These estimations are in good agreement with the scaling of the minimal surface, although we cannot rule out other functional forms (for instance, exponential; see Fig. 5D). [Note that the so-called magnetic moment and radius (58) give similar results, albeit different scaling (see fig. S3).]

### Supercoiling reduces threadings


Motivated by the observation that the minimal surface—or “threadable area”—sharply decreases with supercoiling, we decided to quantify more precisely the number of threadings per plasmid for different levels of supercoiling. To this end, we identify a plasmid to be “passively threaded” by another when the minimal surface of the former is intersected by the contour of the latter (at least twice, as they are topologically unlinked) (Fig. 6A) (55). As shown in Fig. 6B, the average number of threadings per plasmid  $\langle n_t \rangle$  also appears to decrease exponentially with supercoiling and to mirror the behavior of the mean threadable area  $\langle \Sigma \rangle$ . [As for the minimal surface  $\Sigma$ , a notable exception to this general trend is the case of short plasmids ( $M = 100$ ) for which we find that  $\langle n_t \rangle$  is statistically larger for  $\sigma = 0$  than for relaxed plasmids because of the topological locking that we explained above.]

On the basis of these findings, we can also advance an argument as for why the diffusion coefficient of plasmids increases exponentially with supercoiling: Recent evidence suggests that the dynamics of ring polymers with threadings slow down exponentially with the number of threadings [e.g., entangled rings (55, 59, 60), melts of tadpole-shaped polymers (20, 61), or compressed long plasmids (62)]. We thus expect the dynamics of highly supercoiled (threading poor) plasmids to be exponentially faster than their relaxed (threading-rich) counterparts, as seen in Fig. 3C.

Intriguingly, in the case of short plasmids in which setting  $\sigma = 0$  increases the threadable area and also the number of threadings, we also find a slower dynamics, in full agreement with our argument (see Figs. 3C and 6B).

### Supercoiling reduces entanglements

The shape descriptors studied above suggest that long plasmids assume prolate double-folded conformations, but it remains unclear whether the conformations are simply plectonic (linear-like) or more branched into comb, star, or tree-like structures (63). We thus



**Fig. 6. Supercoiling reduces threadings and entanglements.** (A) Snapshot of two threading plasmids (relaxed,  $M = 800 \approx 6$  kbp) with minimal surfaces drawn and intersections highlighted by arrows. (B) Number of threadings per plasmid as a function of supercoiling (dashed = exponential, solid =  $1/\sigma$ ). (C) Number of threadings per plasmid as a function of DNA length (dashed =  $1/M$ , solid =  $M^{1/2}$ ). (D and E) Snapshots of the PPA analysis run on a system with plasmids  $M = 800 \approx 6$  kbp and  $\sigma = 0.06$ . (F) The effective entanglement length increases with supercoiling as  $M_e/M_{e,\text{linear}} \sim \sigma^\alpha$ , with  $\alpha \approx 0.5$  for both PPA and IsoMP methods. Note that  $M_{e,\text{linear}} = 54 \pm 2$  (PPA) and  $M_{e,\text{linear}} = 49 \pm 2$  (IsoMP). The effective persistence length  $l_p/l_{p,\text{linear}}$  also shows a scaling compatible with  $\sigma^{1/2}$  ( $l_{p,\text{linear}} = 18 \pm 1$ ).

computed the local absolute writhe along the contour length,  $W(s)$ , from which the number and location of plectonemic tips can be identified as the local maxima of  $W(s)$  (see Materials and Methods and the Supplementary Materials) (64, 65). This calculation reveals that most of the conformations with  $\sigma \geq 0.04$  have two tips and so are mainly linear-like plectonemic conformations (see fig. S5). (For smaller supercoiling, it is difficult to unambiguously distinguish tips from other regions of large curvature.)

In light of this finding, another apparent controversy arises. Arguably, linear chains half the length as their ring counterparts are expected to diffuse slower than the rings due to reptation relaxation induced by ordinary entanglements (assuming that the entanglement length is the same for the two systems) (22); instead, we observe the opposite trend. To explain this result, we adapted the PPA (66) and isoconfigurational mean path (IsoMP) (67) methods to estimate the effective entanglement length of these systems (see Fig. 6, C and D, and fig. S10). For PPA, we determined an effective entanglement length  $M_e$  by leveraging the fact that the tips of linear-like or branched conformations represent effective termini that can be pinned in space (see the Supplementary Materials for more details on PPA and IsoMP methods). (Note that the PPA method typically fails for standard flexible ring polymers because there are no well-defined ends to pin.)

We find that irrespective of the method chosen, the scaling of the effective entanglement length is compatible with  $M_e \sim \sigma^{1/2}$  (Fig. 6F), suggesting that the larger the supercoiling, the less entangled the plasmids. [The numerical difference of PPA and IsoMP is a known feature for topologically constrained ring polymers (67, 68) with  $M_{e,\text{PPA}}/M_{e,\text{IsoMP}} \approx 3/2$  and is in agreement with our findings for plasmids.] We argue that this effective reduction in entanglement [opposite to what one would naively expect considering  $c/c^* \sim R_g^3/M$

or similar packing length arguments as  $p = 1/(\rho_{\text{chain}} L_{ee}^2)$ ,  $L_{ee}$  being the end-to-end distance] is due to the fact that supercoiling (i) induces highly anisotropic conformations and (ii) increases the local concentration of intrachain beads (69). Because the superhelix radius of plasmids scales as  $r \sim 1/\sigma$  (57) and most plasmids display only two tips (fig. S6), this entails that the intrachain density  $\rho_{\text{intra}} \sim M/V \sim \sigma^2$  (with  $V = \pi r^2 L_{ee}$  the approximated cylindrical volume of the supercoil) grows with supercoiling.

Notably, we also find that the effective persistence length, computed as the decay length of the tangent-tangent correlation,  $c_t = \exp(-l/l_p^*)$ , along the plasmid backbone (from tip to tip) scales as  $l_p^* \sim \sigma^{1/2}$ , in turn yielding  $M_e \sim l_p^*$  or  $M_e \sim l_k^*$  (Fig. 6F). This is compatible with the fact that our systems appear to be at the crossover between the semiflexible and stiff regimes, based on the values of density, stiffness, and chain diameter and as supported by the typical values of  $M_e$  extracted from both PPA and IsoMP, which are of the order of the effective Kuhn length  $l_k^* \approx 2l_p^*$  (Fig. 6F). In this crossover, both tube diameter and entanglement length scale linearly with the Kuhn length  $l_k$  (70). The stiffening of the supercoiled plasmids can be naturally thought of as due to the self-writhing; in particular, one may argue that the shorter the contour length between self-crossings, i.e., the smaller  $1/\sigma$ , the longer the effective persistence length displayed by the plasmids. A Flory-type estimate of the interaction free energy of  $n$  monomers  $F_{\text{int}} \sim kTvn^2/r^3$ , per superhelix turn ( $n \sim \sigma^{-1}$  and  $r \sim \sigma^{-1}$ ), gives  $F_{\text{int}} \sim \sigma$ . This can be viewed as if the excluded volume of the cylindrical Kuhn monomer  $v$  (composed of  $l_k^*/d$  spherical beads in line) grew by a factor of  $\sigma$ . Because  $v \sim (l_k^*)^3/d$  (71), the effective length Kuhn length  $l_k^* \sim \sigma^{1/2}$ .

Then, in the stiff regime, the plasmids behave as if they were rigid chains of diameter  $2r \sim 2/\sigma$  confined within narrow tubes with

diameter  $a$ ; in analogy with the classical Odijk problem (72), we can thus write  $M_e = a^{2/3} l_k^{1/3}$ . In turn, the value of the tube diameter can be obtained from the estimate that in each area element  $aM_e$  spanned by the plasmid, there is about one transversal segment, i.e.,  $\rho_s a M_e \approx 1$ , where  $\rho_s = \phi/(2r)^2$  is the arc length density and  $\phi$  is the polymer volume fraction ( $\phi = r^3 M(b/r)/V$  in terms of supercoil turns with  $r \sim b/\sigma$ ). Combining these together (70), we expect  $M_e \approx l_k \phi^{-2/5} (d/l_k)^{4/5} \sim \sigma^{0.1}$  to be attained at very large values of  $\sigma$ , for which the stiff regime ( $a \ll M_e$  and  $l_k \ll M_e$ ) is justified.

Last, we note that for short plasmids, the PPA method cannot identify an entanglement length, confirming that these are very poorly entangled in the standard sense. Hence, their dynamics are mostly determined by threadings, which are abundant also in short plasmids (see Fig. 6, B and C).

## DISCUSSION

In this work, we have studied the dynamics of entangled solutions of DNA plasmids to understand how supercoiling can be leveraged to tune the rheology of dense DNA solutions orthogonally to other traditional methods, such as varying length or concentration. We have found that, contrary to what is typically assumed, the size of long plasmids increases with supercoiling when in entangled solutions.

In dilute conditions, supercoiled plasmids are expected to fall into the universality class of interacting annealed branched polymers for which a metric exponent  $\nu = 7/13$  is expected asymptotically (39, 63). In the melt phase, the self-interactions are screened, and we thus expect supercoiled plasmids to behave as ideal annealed branched polymers or lattice animals for which  $\nu = 1/4$  (40); being unphysical in  $d = 3$ , we expect the size of very large supercoiled plasmids in the melt to scale with a metric exponent  $\nu = 1/3$ . Although this is the same scaling expected for relaxed rings (23, 73), the folded structures are expected to be different. The supercoiling-driven swelling can still be achieved through a non-universal prefactor in front of a supercoiling independent universal scaling  $M^{1/3}$ , for instance, because of an effectively larger persistence length (as we found in this work; Fig. 6F). The fact that we observe a metric exponent that depends on  $\sigma$  (Fig. 2A) thus suggests that our simulations are not in the asymptotic limit, and yet still in a regime that is experimentally interesting.

We find that the swelling of supercoiled plasmids is mirrored by an enhanced mobility. Our predictions are supported by experiments that show that the diffusion coefficient of entangled intact and supercoiled ( $\sigma \approx 0.02$ ) plasmids is larger than that of relaxed ones, i.e., with  $\sigma \approx 0$ . We found that this enhanced mobility is due to severely asymmetric conformations that greatly reduce the threadable area and number of threadings. In parallel, entanglements are also reduced as supercoiling increases the effective entanglement length by increasing the local concentration of intra-chain contacts. We note that threadings are abundant also in short plasmids (Fig. 6B) that are poorly entangled in the standard sense; we observe that, in this case, threadings play a major role in determining the dynamics of short plasmids (notably for  $M = 100$ , the case with  $\sigma = 0$  is slower and displays more threadings than the relaxed one). We have thus found that the unexpected enhanced diffusivity of entangled supercoiled DNA is due to a combination of reduced entanglements and, in particular, threadings.

We conjecture that beyond the range of lengths studied in this work (0.7 to 6 kbp), supercoiled plasmids in entangled solutions may display branched and annealed conformations (i.e., with nonfixed branching points), triggering the need of arm retraction or plectoneme

diffusion/hopping relaxation mechanisms. These processes are notoriously slow, on the order of  $kbp^2/s$  (74), and we thus predict a re-entrant slowing down of the diffusion of supercoiled plasmids. They ought to behave as quenched/annealed branched polymers on time scales shorter/longer than plectoneme diffusion, respectively. Ultimately, despite the expected onset of (exponentially) slowly diffusive “branched-polymer-like” regime for supercoiled plasmids, relaxed ones will still display many more threadings, which we argue will still (exponentially) slow down their dynamics also in the large length limit. Dissecting the contribution of these mechanisms will require longer simulations than currently possible.

In summary, our results suggest a route for the topological tuning of the rheology of DNA-based complex fluids that uses supercoiling as a mean to control DNA mobility. We note that the fact that supercoiling regulates the number of threadings per plasmids can also be leveraged in polydisperse systems or in blends of linear and supercoiled DNA or other biopolymer composites, where threading of rings by the linear fraction is key to determine the stress relaxation of the fluids (20, 21, 61, 75).

In the future, it would be interesting to further investigate longer plasmids with selected or varying levels of supercoiling. Albeit experimentally difficult, this may be feasible using cesium chloride gradient separation techniques (76). Ultimately, understanding how DNA topology and supercoiling affect the dynamics and conformational properties of plasmids in entangled or crowded conditions may not only reveal novel pathways to finely tune the rheology of complex biopolymer fluids but also shed light on the role of supercoiling on chromosome dynamics in vivo (10, 77).

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### Molecular dynamics

Each bead in our simulation is evolved through the Langevin equation  $m_a \partial_{tt} \vec{r}_a = -\nabla U_a - \gamma_a \partial_t \vec{r}_a + \sqrt{2k_B T \gamma_a} \vec{\eta}_a(t)$ , where  $m_a$  and  $\gamma_a$  are the mass and the friction coefficient of bead  $a$ , and  $\vec{\eta}_a$  is its stochastic noise vector satisfying the fluctuation-dissipation theorem.  $U$  is the sum of the energy fields (see the Supplementary Materials). The simulations are performed in LAMMPS (36) with  $m = \gamma = k_B = T = 1$  and using a velocity-Verlet algorithm with integration time step  $\Delta t = 0.002 \tau_B$ , where  $\tau_B = \gamma \sigma^2 / k_B T \approx 0.03 \mu s$  is the Brownian time ( $\gamma = 3\pi \sigma \eta_w$ , with  $\eta_w = 1$  cP the viscosity of water).

### Branching analysis

Following (64, 77), we compute the absolute writhe of a segment of a plasmid as  $W(s) = (1/4\pi) \int_{s-l}^s \int_s^{s+l} |(\mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_2) \cdot (d\mathbf{r}_1 \times d\mathbf{r}_2) / |\mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_2|^3|$  with window  $l = 50$  beads. This calculation yields a function  $W(s)$  whose maxima represent regions of high local writhe and can identify tips of plectonemes. In addition to being a local maximum, we require that  $W(s) > 0.35$  to avoid false positives. See the Supplementary Materials for more details.

### Primitive path analysis

Following (66), we fix certain polymer segments in space, turn intrachain repulsive interactions off, and keep interchain interactions on. We then run simulations at low temperature 0.01 to find a ground state. The resulting chain conformations (primitive paths) are made of straight segments connected by sharp kinks due to entanglements. The entanglement length is then given by

$N_c = r_{ce}^2 / (M b_{pp}^2)$ , where  $r_{ce}$  is the mean endpoint distance,  $M$  is the number of monomers between the fixed points, and  $b_{pp}$  is the mean bond length of the primitive path. We adapt the classical PPA for plasmids by fixing the tips of all detected plectonemes instead of the end points of linear chains (see the Supplementary Materials).

### DNA preparation

Double-stranded 5.9-kbp DNA plasmids are replicated in *E. coli*, collected at the onset of stationary phase, before being extracted and purified using our previously described protocols (49). Following purification, the DNA solution is ~80% supercoiled and ~20% relaxed circular, as determined from gel electrophoresis (fig. S6). To produce concentrated solutions of relaxed circular DNA, topoisomerase I (New England Biolabs) is used to convert the DNA topology from supercoiled to relaxed circular (fig. S6) (79). Both supercoiled and relaxed circular DNA solutions are concentrated to 3 mg/ml using Eppendorf Vacufuge 5301.

### Fluorescence imaging

To visualize DNA diffusion in concentrated solutions, supercoiled or relaxed circular DNA is labeled with YOYO-1 dye (Thermo Fisher Scientific) at a 4:1 bp:dye ratio and added at a concentration of 0.045  $\mu\text{g/ml}$  to 3 mg/ml solutions of supercoiled or relaxed circular DNA described above. Glucose (0.9 mg/ml), glucose oxidase (0.86 mg/ml), and catalase (0.14 mg/ml) are added to inhibit photobleaching (46, 80). The DNA solutions are pipetted into capillary tubing that is index-matched to water and imaged using a custom-built light-sheet microscope with a 488-nm excitation laser, an excitation objective of  $10\times 0.25$  numerical aperture (NA), an imaging objective of  $20\times 1.0$  NA, and an Andor Zyla 4.2 CMOS camera. At least four sample videos are recorded at 50 frames per second for 2000 frames. The video dimensions are 256 pixels  $\times$  768 pixels, which are then analyzed by examining regions of interest (ROIs) of 256 pixels  $\times$  256 pixels (50  $\mu\text{m} \times 50 \mu\text{m}$ ).

### DDM analysis

We follow methods previously described to investigate DNA diffusion using DDM (45). Briefly, from each ROI, we obtain the image structure function or DDM matrix  $D(q, \Delta t)$ , where  $q$  is the magnitude of the wave vector and  $\Delta t$  is the lag time. To extract the transport dynamics of the diffusing DNA molecules, we fit the structure functions to  $D(q, \Delta t) = A(q)[1 - f(q, \Delta t)] + B(q)$ , where  $B$  is a measure of the camera noise,  $A$  depends on the optical properties of both the sample and microscope, and  $f(q, \Delta t)$  is the ISF. On the basis of our previous studies of microspheres and DNA diffusing in crowded environments, we fit the ISFs to stretched exponentials of the form  $f(q, \Delta t) = \exp - (\Delta t / \tau(q))^{\gamma(q)}$ , where  $\tau$  is the characteristic decay time and  $\gamma$  is the stretching exponent, both of which depend on  $q$  (46).

For normal free diffusion, one expects ISFs described by a simple exponential, i.e.,  $\gamma = 1$ , while our scattering functions are better fitted with stretching exponents between 0.9 and 1. Having extracted the decay times of density fluctuations  $\tau$  over a range of spatial frequencies  $q$ , we fit the results to  $\tau = (2Dq^2)^{-1}$  to determine the diffusion coefficient,  $D$ , for the DNA plasmids.

### SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS

Supplementary material for this article is available at <http://advances.sciencemag.org/cgi/content/full/7/20/eabf9260/DC1>

[View/request a protocol for this paper from Bio-protocol.](#)

### REFERENCES AND NOTES

1. S. H. Um, J. B. Lee, N. Park, S. Y. Kwon, C. C. Umbach, D. Luo, Enzyme-catalysed assembly of DNA hydrogel. *Nat. Mater.* **5**, 797–801 (2006).
2. P. W. K. Rothmund, Folding DNA to create nanoscale shapes and patterns. *Nature* **440**, 297–302 (2006).
3. N. C. Seeman, H. F. Sleiman, DNA nanotechnology: Building big with DNA bricks. *Nat. Rev. Mater.* **3**, 17092 (2018).
4. C. Mao, W. Sun, N. C. Seeman, Assembly of Borromean rings from DNA. *Nature* **386**, 137–138 (1997).
5. M. E. Leunissen, R. Dreyfus, R. Sha, T. Wang, N. C. Seeman, D. J. Pine, P. M. Chaikin, Towards self-replicating materials of DNA-functionalized colloids. *Soft Matter* **5**, 2422 (2009).
6. A. Bates, A. Maxwell, *DNA Topology* (Oxford Univ. Press, 2005).
7. R. M. Robertson, D. E. Smith, Strong effects of molecular topology on diffusion of entangled DNA molecules. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **104**, 4824–4827 (2007).
8. R. E. Teixeira, A. K. Dambal, D. H. Richter, E. S. G. Shaqfeh, S. Chu, The Individualistic dynamics of entangled DNA in solution volume 40, number 7, April 3, 2007, pp 2461–2476. *Macromolecules* **40**, 3514 (2007).
9. R. Fitzpatrick, D. Michieletto, K. R. Peddireddy, C. Hauer, C. Kyriillos, B. J. Gurmessa, R. M. Robertson-Anderson, Synergistic interactions between DNA and actin trigger emergent viscoelastic behavior. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **121**, 257801 (2018).
10. F. Wu, A. Japaridze, X. Zheng, J. Wiktor, J. W. J. Kersemakers, C. Dekker, Direct imaging of the circular chromosome in a live bacterium. *Nat. Commun.* **10**, 2194 (2019).
11. D. Racko, F. Benedetti, D. Goundaroulis, A. Stasiak, Chromatin loop extrusion and chromatin unknotting. *Polymers* **10**, 1126 (2018).
12. F. Benedetti, J. Dorier, Y. Burnier, A. Stasiak, Models that include supercoiling of topological domains reproduce several known features of interphase chromosomes. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **42**, 2848–2855 (2014).
13. C. Naughton, N. Avlonitis, S. Corless, J. G. Prendergast, I. K. Mati, P. P. Eijk, S. L. Cockroft, M. Bradley, B. Ylstra, N. Gilbert, Transcription forms and remodels supercoiling domains unfolding large-scale chromatin structures. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* **20**, 387–395 (2013).
14. R. N. Irobailieva, J. M. Fogg, D. J. Catanese Jr., T. Sutthibutpong, M. Chen, A. K. Barker, S. J. Ludtke, S. A. Harris, M. F. Schmid, W. Chiu, L. Zechiedrich, Structural diversity of supercoiled DNA. *Nat. Commun.* **6**, 8440 (2015).
15. Y. A. G. Fosado, D. Michieletto, D. Marenduzzo, Dynamical scaling and phase coexistence in topologically constrained DNA melting. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **119**, 118002 (2017).
16. T. Sutthibutpong, C. Matek, C. Benham, G. G. Slade, A. Noy, C. Laughton, J. P. K. Doye, A. A. Louis, S. A. Harris, Long-range correlations in the mechanics of small DNA circles under topological stress revealed by multi-scale simulation. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **44**, 9121–9130 (2016).
17. Y. Ding, C. Manzo, G. Fulcrand, F. Leng, D. Dunlap, L. Finzi, DNA supercoiling: A regulatory signal for the  $\lambda$  repressor. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **111**, 15402–15407 (2014).
18. S. Laib, R. M. Robertson, D. E. Smith, Preparation and characterization of a set of linear DNA molecules for polymer physics and rheology studies. *Macromolecules* **39**, 4115–4119 (2006).
19. X. Zhu, B. Kundukad, J. R. Van Der Maarel, Viscoelasticity of entangled lambda-phage DNA solutions. *J. Chem. Phys.* **129**, 185103 (2008).
20. A. Rosa, J. Smrek, M. S. Turner, D. Michieletto, Threading-induced dynamical transition in tadpole-shaped polymers. *ACS Macro Lett.* **9**, 743–748 (2020).
21. M. Kapnistos, M. Lang, D. Vlassopoulos, W. Pyckhout-Hintzen, D. Richter, D. Cho, T. Chang, M. Rubinstein, Unexpected power-law stress relaxation of entangled ring polymers. *Nat. Mater.* **7**, 997–1002 (2008).
22. J. D. Halverson, W. B. Lee, G. S. Grest, A. Y. Grosberg, K. Kremer, Molecular dynamics simulation study of nonconcatenated ring polymers in a melt. II. Dynamics. *J. Chem. Phys.* **134**, 204905 (2011).
23. A. Rosa, R. Everaers, Ring polymers in the melt state: The physics of crumpling. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **112**, 118302 (2014).
24. D. Michieletto, M. S. Turner, A topologically driven glass in ring polymers. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **113**, 5195–5200 (2016).
25. B. A. Krajina, A. Zhu, S. C. Heilshorn, A. J. Spakowitz, Active DNA olympic hydrogels driven by topoisomerase activity. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **121**, 148001 (2018).
26. Q. Wu, P. M. Rauscher, X. Lang, R. J. Wojtecki, J. J. de Pablo, M. J. A. Hore, S. J. Rowan, Poly[n]catenanes: Synthesis of molecular interlocked chains. *Science* **358**, 1434–1439 (2017).
27. P. M. Rauscher, K. S. Schweizer, S. J. Rowan, J. J. De Pablo, Thermodynamics and structure of Poly[n]catenane melts. *Macromolecules* **53**, 3390–3408 (2020).
28. B. Mei, Z. E. Dell, K. S. Schweizer, Microscopic theory of long-time center-of-mass self-diffusion and anomalous transport in ring polymer liquids. *Macromolecules* **53**, 10431–10445 (2020).
29. L. R. Gómez, N. A. García, T. Pöschel, Packing structure of semiflexible rings. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **117**, 3382–3387 (2020).

30. M. Abadi, M. F. Serag, S. Habuchi, Entangled polymer dynamics beyond reptation. *Nat. Commun.* **9**, 5098 (2018).
31. C. A. Brackley, A. N. Morozov, D. Marenduzzo, Models for twistable elastic polymers in Brownian dynamics, and their implementation for LAMMPS. *J. Chem. Phys.* **140**, 135103 (2014).
32. B. A. Krajina, A. J. Spakowitz, Large-scale conformational transitions in supercoiled DNA revealed by coarse-grained simulation. *Biophys. J.* **111**, 1339–1349 (2016).
33. J. Cebrián, T. Chen, M. Amendola, B. van Steensel, Easy quantitative assessment of genome editing by sequence trace decomposition. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **3112**, e168 (2014).
34. M. Doi, S. Edwards, *The Theory of Polymer Dynamics* (Oxford Univ. Press, 1988).
35. K. Kremer, G. S. Grest, Dynamics of entangled linear polymer melts: A molecular-dynamics simulation. *J. Chem. Phys.* **92**, 5057–5086 (1990).
36. S. Plimpton, Fast Parallel algorithms for short-range molecular dynamics. *J. Comp. Phys.* **117**, 1–19 (1995).
37. M. R. Dennis, J. H. Hannay, Geometry of Călugăreanu's theorem. *Proc. R. Soc. A* **461**, 3245–3254 (2005).
38. J. D. Halverson, W. B. Lee, G. S. Grest, A. Y. Grosberg, K. Kremer, Molecular dynamics simulation study of nonconcatenated ring polymers in a melt. I. Statics. *J. Chem. Phys.* **134**, 204904 (2011).
39. A. M. Gutin, A. Y. Grosberg, E. I. Shakhnovich, Microphase separation in randomly branched polymers. *Macromolecules* **26**, 3598–3600 (1993).
40. T. C. Lubensky, J. Isaacson, Statistics of lattice animals and dilute branched polymers. *Phys. Rev. A* **20**, 2130–2146 (1979).
41. R. R. Sinden, D. E. Pettijohn, Chromosomes in living *Escherichia coli* cells are segregated into domains of supercoiling. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **78**, 224–228 (1981).
42. F. Benedetti, D. Racko, J. Dorier, Y. Burnier, A. Stasiak, Transcription-induced supercoiling explains formation of self-interacting chromatin domains in *S. pombe*. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **45**, 9850–9859 (2017).
43. K. Ott, L. Martini, J. Lipfert, U. Gerland, Dynamics of the buckling transition in double-stranded DNA and RNA. *Biophys. J.* **118**, 1690–1701 (2020).
44. M. Bernabei, P. Bacova, A. J. Moreno, A. Narros, C. N. Likos, Fluids of semiflexible ring polymers: Effective potentials and clustering. *Soft Matter* **9**, 1287–1300 (2013).
45. R. Cerbino, V. Trappe, Differential dynamic microscopy: Probing wave vector dependent dynamics with a microscope. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **100**, 188102 (2008).
46. D. M. Wulstein, K. E. Regan, J. Garamella, R. J. McGorty, R. M. Robertson-Anderson, Topology-dependent anomalous dynamics of ring and linear DNA are sensitive to cytoskeleton crosslinking. *Sci. Adv.* **5**, eaay5912 (2019).
47. V. L. Balke, J. D. Gralla, Changes in the linking number of supercoiled DNA accompany growth transitions in *Escherichia coli*. *J. Bacteriol.* **169**, 4499–4506 (1987).
48. Z. Wang, P. Dröge, Long-range effects in a supercoiled DNA domain generated by transcription in vitro. *J. Mol. Biol.* **271**, 499–510 (1997).
49. R. M. Robertson, S. Laib, D. E. Smith, Diffusion of isolated DNA molecules: Dependence on length and topology. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **103**, 7310–7314 (2006).
50. E. J. Rawdon, J. C. Kern, M. Piatek, P. Plunkett, A. Stasiak, K. C. Millett, Effect of knotting on the shape of polymers. *Macromolecules* **41**, 8281–8287 (2008).
51. F. Benedetti, A. Japaridze, J. Dorier, D. Racko, R. Kwapich, Y. Burnier, G. Dietler, A. Stasiak, Effects of physiological self-crowding of DNA on shape and biological properties of DNA molecules with various levels of supercoiling. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **43**, 2390–2399 (2015).
52. A. Rosa, E. Orlandini, L. Tubiana, C. Micheletti, Structure and dynamics of ring polymers: Entanglement effects because of solution density and ring topology. *Macromolecules* **44**, 8668–8680 (2011).
53. M. Lang, Ring conformations in bidisperse blends of ring polymers. *Macromolecules* **46**, 1158–1166 (2013).
54. D. Michieletto, N. Nahali, A. Rosa, Glassiness and heterogeneous dynamics in dense solutions of ring polymers. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **119**, 197801 (2017).
55. J. Smrek, A. Y. Grosberg, Minimal surfaces on unconcatenated polymer rings in melt. *ACS Macro Lett.* **5**, 750–754 (2016).
56. J. Smrek, K. Kremer, A. Rosa, Threading of unconcatenated ring polymers at high concentrations: Double-folded vs time-equilibrated structures. *ACS Macro Lett.* **8**, 155–160 (2019).
57. T. C. Boles, J. H. White, N. R. Cozzarelli, Structure of plectonemically supercoiled DNA. *J. Mol. Biol.* **213**, 931–951 (1990).
58. R. D. Schram, A. Rosa, R. Everaers, Local loop opening in untangled ring polymer melts: A detailed “Feynman test” of models for the large scale structure. *Soft Matter* **15**, 2418–2429 (2019).
59. D. Michieletto, D. Marenduzzo, E. Orlandini, G. P. Alexander, M. S. Turner, Dynamics of self-threading ring polymers in a gel. *Soft Matter* **10**, 5936–5944 (2014).
60. J. Smrek, I. Chubak, C. N. Likos, K. Kremer, Active topological glass. *Nat. Commun.* **11**, 26 (2020).
61. Y. Doi, A. Takano, Y. Takahashi, Y. Matsushita, Melt rheology of tadpole-shaped polystyrenes. *Macromolecules* **48**, 8667–8674 (2015).
62. B. W. Soh, A. R. Klotz, R. M. Robertson-Anderson, P. S. Doyle, Long-lived self-entanglements in ring polymers. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **123**, 048002 (2019).
63. R. Everaers, A. Y. Grosberg, M. Rubinstein, A. Rosa, Flory theory of randomly branched polymers. *Soft Matter* **13**, 1223–1234 (2017).
64. D. Michieletto, On the tree-like structure of rings in dense solutions. *Soft Matter* **12**, 9485–9500 (2016).
65. A. V. Vologodskii, S. D. Levene, K. V. Klenin, M. Frank-Kamenetskii, N. R. Cozzarelli, Conformational and thermodynamic properties of supercoiled DNA. *J. Mol. Biol.* **227**, 1224–1243 (1992).
66. R. Everaers, S. K. Sukumaran, G. S. Grest, C. Svaneborg, A. Sivasubramanian, K. Kremer, Rheology and microscopic topology of entangled polymeric liquids. *Science* **303**, 823–826 (2004).
67. W. Bisbee, J. Qin, S. T. Milner, Finding the tube with isoconfigurational averaging. *Macromolecules* **44**, 8972–8980 (2011).
68. R. S. Hoy, M. Kröger, Unified analytic expressions for the entanglement length, tube diameter, and plateau modulus of polymer melts. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **124**, 147801 (2020).
69. A. V. Vologodskii, N. R. Cozzarelli, Conformational and thermodynamic properties of supercoiled DNA. *Annu. Rev. Biophys.* 1224–1243 (1994); <http://www.annualreviews.org/doi/pdf/10.1146/annurev.bb.23.060194.003141>.
70. S. T. Milner, Unified entanglement scaling for flexible, semiflexible, and stiff polymer melts and solutions. *Macromolecules* **53**, 1314–1325 (2020).
71. M. Rubinstein, H. R. Colby, *Polymer Physics 2003* (Oxford Univ. Press, 1995).
72. T. Odijk, The statistics and dynamics of confined or entangled stiff polymers. *Macromolecules* **16**, 1340–1344 (1983).
73. A. Grosberg, Y. Rabin, S. Havlin, A. Neer, Crumpled globule model of the three-dimensional structure of DNA. *Europhys. Lett.* **23**, 373–378 (1993).
74. M. T. van Loenhout, M. V. de Grunt, C. Dekker, Dynamics of DNA supercoils. *Science* **338**, 94–97 (2012).
75. D. Parisi, J. Ahn, T. Chang, D. Vlassopoulos, M. Rubinstein, Stress relaxation in symmetric ring-linear polymer blends at low ring fractions. *Macromolecules* **53**, 1685–1693 (2020).
76. D. B. Clewley, D. R. Helinski, Supercoiled circular DNA-protein complex in *Escherichia coli*: Purification and induced conversion to an open circular DNA form. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **62**, 1159–1166 (1969).
77. A. Japaridze, C. Gogou, J. W. Kerssemakers, H. M. Nguyen, C. Dekker, Direct observation of independently moving replisomes in *Escherichia coli*. *Nat. Commun.* **11**, 3109 (2020).
78. K. Klenin, J. Langowski, Computation of writhe in modeling of supercoiled DNA. *Biopolymers* **54**, 307–317 (2000).
79. C. Chapman, S. Shanbhag, D. E. Smith, R. M. Robertson-Anderson, Complex effects of molecular topology on diffusion in entangled biopolymer blends. *Soft Matter* **8**, 9177–9182 (2012).
80. J. Garamella, K. Regan, G. Aguirre, R. J. McGorty, R. M. Robertson-Anderson, Anomalous and heterogeneous DNA transport in biomimetic cytoskeleton networks. *Soft Matter* **16**, 6344–6353 (2020).
81. T. Ge, S. Panyukov, M. Rubinstein, Self-similar conformations and dynamics in entangled melts and solutions of nonconcatenated ring polymers. *Macromolecules* **49**, 708–722 (2016).
82. D. Michieletto, T. Sakaue, Dynamical entanglement and cooperative dynamics in entangled solutions of ring and linear polymers. *ACS Macro Lett.* **10**, 129–134 (2021).

**Acknowledgments:** We would like to acknowledge the networking support by the “European Topology Interdisciplinary Action” (EUOTIA) CA17139. **Funding:** This project has received funding from the European Union’s Horizon 2020 programme under grant agreement no. 731019 (EUSMI). D.M. acknowledges the computing time provided on the supercomputer JURECA at Jülich Supercomputing Centre and the support by the Leverhulme Trust (ECF-2019-088), the Royal Society, and ERC (StG TAP 947918). J.S. acknowledges the support from the Austrian Science Fund (FWF) through Lise-Meitner Fellowship No. M2470-N28. J.S. is grateful for the computational time at Vienna Scientific Cluster. R.R.-A. is supported by the Air Force Office of Scientific Research (grant no. AFOSR-FA9550-17-1-0249). Sample codes can be found at [git.ecdf.ed.ac.uk/dmichiel/supercoiledplasmids](http://git.ecdf.ed.ac.uk/dmichiel/supercoiledplasmids). **Author contributions:** D.M. conceived the project. D.M. and J.S. performed and analyzed simulations. J.G. and R.R.-A. performed experiments. All authors wrote the paper. **Competing interests:** The authors declare that they have no competing interests. **Data and materials availability:** All data needed to evaluate the conclusions in the paper are present in the paper and/or the Supplementary Materials. Additional data related to this paper may be requested from the authors.

Submitted 29 November 2020

Accepted 26 March 2021

Published 12 May 2021

10.1126/sciadv.abf9260

**Citation:** J. Smrek, J. Garamella, R. Robertson-Anderson, D. Michieletto, Topological tuning of DNA mobility in entangled solutions of supercoiled plasmids. *Sci. Adv.* **7**, eabf9260 (2021).

## Topological tuning of DNA mobility in entangled solutions of supercoiled plasmids

Jan Smrek, Jonathan Garamella, Rae Robertson-Anderson and Davide Michieletto

*Sci Adv* 7 (20), eabf9260.  
DOI: 10.1126/sciadv.abf9260

### ARTICLE TOOLS

<http://advances.sciencemag.org/content/7/20/eabf9260>

### SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS

<http://advances.sciencemag.org/content/suppl/2021/05/10/7.20.eabf9260.DC1>

### REFERENCES

This article cites 78 articles, 11 of which you can access for free  
<http://advances.sciencemag.org/content/7/20/eabf9260#BIBL>

### PERMISSIONS

<http://www.sciencemag.org/help/reprints-and-permissions>

Use of this article is subject to the [Terms of Service](#)

---

*Science Advances* (ISSN 2375-2548) is published by the American Association for the Advancement of Science, 1200 New York Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20005. The title *Science Advances* is a registered trademark of AAAS.

Copyright © 2021 The Authors, some rights reserved; exclusive licensee American Association for the Advancement of Science. No claim to original U.S. Government Works. Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution NonCommercial License 4.0 (CC BY-NC).