Polymer composites consisting of a polymer matrix reinforced with fillers1−5 are of widespread importance in the natural and commercial world, with applications ranging from aerospace engineering to drug delivery.6−12 Further, composites often exhibit emergent rheological and structural properties that are superior to those of the constituent materials.3,4,5,13−26 For example, composites of stiff and flexible polymers can exhibit enhanced strength and stiffness while concomitantly reducing weight.21−24 In cells, networks of stiff and semiflexible protein filaments (i.e., cytoskeleton) form in a dense solution of flexible and folded macromolecules such as nucleic acids and polysaccharides (i.e., cytoplasm). Mechanical interactions between the cytoplasm and cytoskeleton have been shown to be critical to the cell life cycle.25−28 Finally, previous in vitro studies have shown that cytoskeletal composites exhibit emergent stress-stiffening and mechano-memory5,59,60 due to entropically driven polymer rearrangement.

Despite the widespread interest and applicability of composites, the role of polymer end-closure in composite rheology remains largely unexplored.31,32 At the same time, cyclization of linear polymers, which reduces the conformational size of coils, has been shown to play a primary role in the rheology of entangled polymers.3,32−34 For example, linear polymers (with no free ends) more effectively form entanglements and undergo affine deformation compared to ring polymers (with no free ends),33,34 resulting in significantly enhanced elasticity and shear thinning. However, rings can become threaded by surrounding polymers, which can slow relaxation and increase viscoelasticity.14,57−45 Further, ring-linear polymer blends have been shown to exhibit increased elasticity, stress-stiffening, and relaxation time scales compared to their single-topology counterparts.14,32,46

Here, we create composites of stiff microtubules and flexible linear and ring DNA molecules. We polymerize varying concentrations of tubulin into microtubules (MT) in the presence of entangled linear (L) and ring (R) DNA (at ∼2.5X the critical entanglement concentration 66) and determine the roles that DNA topology and tubulin concentration play in the microrheological properties and structure of DNA-MT composites (Figure 1). We show that DNA end-closure plays a prominent role in tubulin polymerization, network formation, and bundling, which ultimately dictates the mechanical response of the composites.

We first determine the dependence of the DNA conformation and tubulin concentration on linear elastic and viscous moduli, G′(ω) and G″(ω), which we extract from thermal oscillations of embedded trapped microspheres (Experimental Section, Figures 1 and 2). As shown in Figure 2a, the elastic modulus G′(ω) of R-MT composites increases monotonically with increasing tubulin concentrations over the entire frequency range, indicating increased elasticity. Further,
at low tubulin concentrations, $G' (\omega)$ exhibits power-law scaling of $\sim 0.3$, which is reduced to $\sim 0.1$ for [tubulin] $\geq 5 \mu M$, which is lower than the reported value of 0.17 for 10 $\mu M$ MT solutions.\textsuperscript{48} The increased magnitude and decreased frequency-dependence of $G' (\omega)$ are both signatures of increased elasticity and connectivity, as one may expect given the increased density of stiff polymers.

In contrast to R-MTs, we observe a nonmonotonic dependence of $G' (\omega)$ on tubulin concentration in L-MT composites. As tubulin concentration increases from 0 to 2 $\mu M$, $G' (\omega)$ increases by an order of magnitude followed by a subsequent decrease as tubulin concentration increases to 7.5 $\mu M$. L-MTs also show reduced frequency-dependence of $G' (\omega)$ compared to linear DNA that is most apparent for the lowest tubulin concentration. Of note, despite this decrease in the L-MT elastic response at higher [tubulin], the R-MT elastic response remains lower and the frequency-dependence is stronger than L-MTs for all but the highest tubulin concentration (7.5 $\mu M$), likely due to the reduced ability of rings to form entanglements.\textsuperscript{14,32} This topology dependence can also be seen in Figure 2d in which the approximate $G^0$ values, determined by evaluating $G'$ at the frequency at which the loss tangent, $\tan \delta = G''/G'$, is a minimum,\textsuperscript{49} are plotted as a function of [tubulin]. Using reported $G^0$ values and scaling $G^0 \sim \lambda^4$ for MT solutions, we estimate $G^0 \approx 0.05$ Pa for a 2 $\mu M$ MT solution.\textsuperscript{48} In contrast, L-MTs with 2 $\mu M$ tubulin exhibit an order of magnitude higher $G^0$ value, while R-MTs have a smaller value ($G^0 \approx 0.02$ Pa).

We also evaluate the complex viscosity, $\eta^*(\omega)$, as studies have shown that entangled ring and linear DNA both exhibit shear thinning $\eta^*(\omega) \sim \omega^{-\alpha}$, but rings exhibit weaker thinning (smaller $\alpha$) due to their reduced ability to align with flow.\textsuperscript{34,50,51} The addition of tubulin increases $\alpha$ to $\sim 0.9$ for both topologies, but R-MTs require 5 $\mu M$ tubulin for this increase while 2 $\mu M$ tubulin is sufficient for L-MTs. The delayed increase for R-MTs suggests that microtubule network formation may be more readily facilitated by linear DNA. At the same time, the apparent similarity in shear-thinning behavior for both composites suggests that microtubules may facilitate flow alignment of ring polymers to more readily allow for affine deformation, necessary for ample shear thinning.\textsuperscript{14,50,51} Further, using data and scaling from ref 48, we estimate $\eta^*(\omega)$ values of $\sim 0.2$ to 0.009 Pa·s for 2 $\mu M$ MT solutions over the frequency range we examine, an order of magnitude lower than what we find for L-MTs, and $\alpha \approx 0.9$, similar to L-MT scaling but higher than that for R-MTs ($\alpha \approx 0.65$).

To determine the robustness of the topology-dependent viscoelasticity to large strains, we measure the nonlinear force response (Figure 3). As shown, nonlinear stress curves for all composites initially rise steeply, with an elastic-like dependence, before reaching a more viscous regime with shallower force slopes. The addition of microtubules to both DNA types leads to an increase in force magnitude and slope at large distances, suggesting that composites are more readily able to retain elastic memory in the nonlinear regime compared to
DNA solutions, which reach a nearly completely viscous response at the end of the strain. The strong dependence of the force response on DNA end-closure and tubulin concentration seen in the linear regime is preserved. Namely, R-MTs exhibit a weak monotonic increase in force as a function of [tubulin] while L-MTs exhibit a strong nonmonotonic dependence. Further, at higher [tubulin], force curves exhibit peaks and valleys, which are more prevalent in L-MTs. We have seen similar “bumpiness” for actin-microtubule composites at high [tubulin] due to increased microscale heterogeneity.52

To quantify the strain-rate dependence, we evaluate the maximum force $F_{\text{max}}$ reached during strain as a function of rate (Figure 3c). For reference, a fluid-like system should display a purely viscous response (i.e., $F_{\text{max}} \sim \dot{\gamma}^1$), whereas a solid-like system should show minimal rate dependence ($F_{\text{max}} \sim \dot{\gamma}^0$). As shown, all composites exhibit power-law dependence $F_{\text{max}} \sim \dot{\gamma}^\beta$ for $\dot{\gamma} > 10$ s$^{-1}$, with approximate exponents that depend on DNA topology and [tubulin]. Pure DNA solutions exhibit scaling $\beta \approx 0.7$, independent of topology, in line with previous studies on ring-linear DNA blends14 and tube extension models for flexible polymers in the nonlinear regime.53–55 While the addition of microtubules only modestly reduces the rate dependence for R-MTs ($\beta \geq 0.6$), the addition of 2 µM MTs to linear DNA reduces $\beta$ to $\sim 0.4$. However, upon subsequent increase in [tubulin], $\beta$ increases to $\geq 0.6$. This result suggests that microtubules can synergistically interact more readily with linear DNA compared to rings to oppose flow-induced disentanglement. However, these interactions are most efficient at lower [tubulin].

Following strain, we measure the stress relaxation (Figure 4). While both DNA solutions relax nearly all of their stress during the measurement, all composites retain a nonzero residual force, indicative of elastic memory. Similar to previous studies on an entangled ring and linear DNA,14 we fit each relaxation curve to a sum of three exponential decays, $F(t) = F_\infty + C_1 e^{-t/\tau_1} + C_2 e^{-t/\tau_2} + C_3 e^{-t/\tau_3}$, but here we include a nonzero residual force term $F_\infty$. These fits yield three well-separated time constants ($\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}, \tau_{3}$; Figure 4c) and residual forces that depend on [tubulin] and DNA topology (Figure 4a, inset). All $\tau_{i}$ and $F_\infty$ values show minimal rate dependence, represented by the error bars (Figure 4a,c,d), while the fractional amplitudes $\phi_i = C_i/(C_1 + C_2 + C_3)$ are rate-dependent (Figure 4e,f).

$F_\infty$ values display a strong dependence on [tubulin], with the largest residual force at the lowest [tubulin] in L-MTs, and with L-MTs exhibiting higher values than R-MTs. The [tubulin] dependence of the time constants ($\tau_i$) is substantially weaker but statistically significant in some instances. To understand the mechanisms underlying each time constant we compare our measured constants for entangled linear DNA to the principle relaxation time scales predicted for entangled linear polymers:56 the entanglement time $\tau_e$ over which diffusing chain segments reach the edge of the tube, the disengagement time $\tau_d$ over which the polymer reptates out of its initial deformed tube, and the Rouse time $\tau_R$ over which elastic relaxation of the deformed polymer occurs. The
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Comparing composites, L-MTs show a nonmonotonic dependence of relaxation dynamics on [tubulin], with all time constants increasing >2× upon addition of 2 μM tubulin, followed by subsequent reduction, while values for R-MTs lack significant [tubulin] dependence. A steeper drop in \( \phi_1 \) in L-MTs further suggests that they are richer in entanglements compared to R-MTs as forced disentanglement is rate-dependent. Further, while rates up to 113 s\(^{-1}\) are possible in R-MTs, the trap could not withstand rates >30 s\(^{-1}\) in L-MTs, indicating stronger entanglements and DNA-MT interactions.

To further elucidate our microrheology results, we examine confocal micrographs of composites with rhodamine-labeled microtubules (Figure 5). As shown, without DNA, tubulin polymerizes into disconnected branched clusters that are heterogeneously distributed throughout the sample and grow and become more interconnected as [tubulin] increases.

Typically, crowding agents or depletants enhance polymerization reactions, including microtubule polymerization, due to entropically driven depletion effects.\(^{30–53}\) Namely, the crowders aim to maximize their entropy by driving the polymerizing monomers together to reduce the excluded volume that surrounds each monomer. Surprisingly, we see an opposite effect in R-MTs: ring DNA hinders tubulin polymerization. A percolated microtubule network only emerges for [tubulin] ≥ 5 μM, consistent with our microrheology results (Figure 2) that show a discrete shift in \( G(\omega) \) scaling at 5 μM. We further note that the networks that form are more homogeneously distributed, with fewer clusters and branches compared to MTs alone, indicating that the entropic gain from mixing outweighs depletion interactions. Indeed, previous studies have shown that end-closure of polymers can significantly increase the miscibility of polymer blends.\(^{34,65}\) This phenomenon may be due to the smaller radius of gyration \( R_g \) of rings compared to linear chains of equal length \( (R_g \approx 1.58R_{G,R}) \), which reduces the volume fraction taken up by the polymers (i.e., DNA), thereby lowering the depletion force that drives the other species (i.e., tubulin) to self-assemble.\(^{53,67}\) Another potential contribution is threading of rings by microtubules, which would aid mixing and further reduce the volume taken up by the rings (as some polymers are threaded by microtubules and no longer excluding their available volume), thus, reducing the depletion interaction strength. Previous studies have reported threading of ring DNA by cytoskeletal filaments,\(^{68}\) suggesting that rings, with diameter \( \sigma_R \approx 2R_{G,R} \approx 1.04 \mu m \) may indeed be threaded by microtubules (\( D \approx 25 \text{ nm} \)).

Opposite to R-MTs, L-MTs show a percolated MT network at 2 μM tubulin that is significantly more connected and pervasive than for MTs alone. This enhanced network formation, likely driven by depletion interactions,\(^{69–71}\) explains the corresponding large increase in the force response. A percolated microtubule network provides a scaffold to reinforce the entangled DNA, while at the same time, entanglements with the linear DNA provide elastic support to the microtubules. As [tubulin] increases more microtube bundling occurs, evidenced by brighter clusters with larger voids, which lowers the network connectivity, thereby weakening the microtube scaffold, resulting in a drop in force response at higher [tubulin].

To quantify DNA-MT composite structure, we compute the spatial image autocorrelation \( g(r) \) (Figure 5b). All \( g(r) \) curves exhibit exponential rather than power-law decay suggestive of microphase separation instead of fractal structure.\(^{57}\) By fitting
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**Figure 3.** The nonlinear force response of DNA-MT composites exhibits a complex dependence on DNA topology, tubulin concentration, and strain rate. (a, b) Measured force in response to strain of rate \( \gamma = 9.4 \text{ s}^{-1} \) for composites with ring (a) or linear (b) DNA and varying tubulin concentrations listed in μM in the legend. Arrows point in the direction of increasing tubulin concentration to guide the eye. Similar to the linear regime, L-MT composites exhibit greater force response than R-MT composites and a strong nonmonotonic dependence on tubulin concentration that is lacking in R-MT composites. (c) Maximum force reached during strain \( F_{\text{max}} \) vs strain rate \( \gamma \) for R-MT (circles) and L-MT (squares) composites with varying tubulin concentrations shown in the legend in (a). Black lines represent power-law scaling with exponents shown. In general, composites with higher force responses have weaker dependence on strain rate, signifying a more elastic response. Error bars, some of which are smaller than the symbol size, represent the standard error from 15 trials. We note that scaling exponents determined from the data should be considered approximate due to the limited range evaluated for some cases.

---

predicted time scales for our linear DNA solution are \( \tau_e \approx 0.1 \text{ s}, \tau_R \approx 0.5 \text{ s}, \text{ and } \tau_{ff} \approx 9 \text{ s}. \)\(^{56}\) As shown (Figure 4c), \( \tau_1, \tau_2, \) and \( \tau_3 \) for linear DNA are comparable but slightly smaller than \( \tau_e, \tau_R \) and \( \tau_{ff} \) respectively, likely due to nonlinear straining.\(^{57}\) The fractional amplitudes (Figure 4d,e) further support this interpretation as we see a large drop in \( \phi_1 \) as \( \gamma \) increases, while \( \phi_2 \) and \( \phi_3 \) corresponding to \( \tau_e \) and \( \tau_R \) increase. Faster rates more easily disrupt entanglements and thus reduce the propensity for reptation, thereby increasing the relative contributions from \( \tau_e \) and \( \tau_R \).
each curve to \( g(r) \propto e^{r^2} \), we extract a characteristic correlation length \( \xi \) that describes the network structure. As shown, both DNA topologies decrease the correlation length of the MT network. R-MTs have the smallest \( \xi \) values for \([\text{tubulin}]>2 \mu M\), indicating that at any given \([\text{tubulin}]\), microtubules are smaller than in the other cases, and, when a connected network forms, the mesh size is smaller as it is comprised of individual filaments rather than bundles or clusters. \( \xi \) values for L-MTs are significantly higher than for R-MTs and increase from 2 to 5 \( \mu M\). As fully connected networks are evident at all \([\text{tubulin}]\), this increase in \( \xi \) represents an increase in mesh size as microtubules flocculate. Fractional amplitudes for both R-MTs (circles) and L-MTs (squares) composites show that fast relaxation modes (\( \tau_1 \) and \( \tau_2 \)) become increasingly dominant at high strain rates, whereas the slowest mode (\( \tau_3 \)) dominates at low strain rates. Fractional amplitudes for \( \dot{\gamma} > 30 \text{ s}^{-1} \) are not available for L-MT composites, as the composite resistive force exceeds the trapping force. Error bars for all panels, some of which are smaller than the symbol size, represent the standard error from 15 trials.

Figure 4. DNA-MT composites exhibit multimode relaxation and sustained memory following nonlinear straining. (a, b) Force relaxation of composites with ring (R-MT, a) or linear (L-MT, b) DNA and varying tubulin concentrations (shown in \( \mu M \) in legend) following a \( \dot{\gamma} = 9.4 \text{ s}^{-1} \) strain. Each relaxation curve is fit to a sum of three exponential decays and a residual: \( F(t) = F_\infty + C_1 e^{-t/\tau_1} + C_2 e^{-t/\tau_2} + C_3 e^{-t/\tau_3} \). Sample fits (all of which have adjusted R-squared values of \( \geq 0.99 \)) are shown as black dashed lines. Three time constants are necessary and sufficient for best possible fits. (Inset) DNA-MT composites exhibit sustained elasticity as shown by the nonzero force maintained at the end of the relaxation phase \( F_\infty \), shown averaged over all \( \dot{\gamma} \) as a function of tubulin concentration. (c, d) Time constants \( \tau_1, \tau_2, \) and \( \tau_3 \), determined from fits and averaged over all \( \dot{\gamma} \), as a function of tubulin concentration for R-MT (circles, c) and L-MT (squares, d) composites. (e, f) Corresponding fractional amplitudes \( \phi_1 \) \( [=C_1/(C_1 + C_2 + C_3)] \), \( \phi_2 \), and \( \phi_3 \) determined from fits, averaged over all tubulin concentrations and plotted versus \( \dot{\gamma} \) for R-MT (circles, e) and L-MT (squares, f) composites. Fractional amplitudes for both R-MT (circles) and L-MT (squares) composites show that fast relaxation modes (\( \tau_1 \) and \( \tau_2 \)) become increasingly dominant at high strain rates, whereas the slowest mode (\( \tau_3 \)) dominates at low strain rates. Fractional amplitudes for \( \dot{\gamma} > 30 \text{ s}^{-1} \) are not available for L-MT composites, as the composite resistive force exceeds the trapping force. Error bars for all panels, some of which are smaller than the symbol size, represent the standard error from 15 trials.

To further explain our observations we use scaled particle theory (SPT)\(^7\)\(^-\)\(^9\) to compute the phase diagram of a solution of rod-like colloids (MTs) and flexible coils (DNA; Figure 6). Within this framework, described in the SI, DNA depletants induce an isotropic-to-nematic transition for MTs for certain values of DNA and MT volume fractions, as shown by the binodals in Figure 6. The region of phase space between the binodals represents the coexistence of isotropic and nematic MT phases. In both phases flanking the coexistence region, microtubules and DNA are mixed, while in the coexistence phase they are demixed and isotropic and nematic microtubule arrangements are present. In experiments, this is seen as flocs of nematically aligned microtubules that are isotropically distributed throughout the DNA network (Figure 5). While SPT predicts macroscopic phase separation of nematic and isotropic phases in the coexistence region, we instead observe microphase separation (flocculation), likely due to slow relaxation modes (evidenced by nonzero \( F_\infty \)), and interactions not accounted for in the model (e.g., entanglements, threadings). Intriguingly, DNA depletants significantly widen the coexistence region from that of a simple solution of rod-like colloids.\(^7\)\(^-\)\(^4\) To account for the different DNA topologies, we consider the topology-dependent conformational sizes \( \sigma (\sim 2R_G) \) of ring
and linear DNA of equal length (i.e., \( \sigma \mathcal{L} \simeq 1.58 \sigma \mathcal{R} \)).\(^6\) SPT does not explicitly account for end-closure or topology otherwise, so the results for ring DNA are the same as for a \( \sim 2.2x \) (i.e., \( (\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{G},L}/\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{G},R})^{1/0.58} \)) shorter linear polymer. Our calculations show that rings (i.e., smaller coils) are substantially less effective at inducing microtubule flocculation, as evidenced by the smaller coexistence regime and the higher MT concentrations required to reach isotropic−nematic coexistence. The smaller coexistence region further shows that the nematic MT phase is less dense in the presence of rings compared to linear DNA (Figure 6), implying that density modulation is weaker and therefore less likely to impact the mechanical properties of the R-MT composite.

As described in Figure 1, our ring DNA solution contains \( \sim 10\% \) linear DNA (see the SI). The presence of linear contaminants likely leads to enhanced entanglement dynamics compared to a solution of pure rings.\(^3\) Linear contaminants may also shift the onset of tubulin polymerization and DNA-MT demixing to lower [tubulin]. While a small fraction of linear contaminants can have dramatic effects on the rheology of ring polymers, the effect is to bring the rheological properties closer in line with those of linear polymer solutions.\(^3\) As such, we expect that for a pure ring DNA solution, the topological effects on DNA-MT composites may be even more dramatic, but the trends and physical picture will remain the same. Similarly, threading of rings would amplify the topology-dependent effects in SPT as it would effectively lower the volume fraction of polymer coils. One can look to regions of the phase diagram (Figure 6) that have a lower DNA volume fraction to predict the phase behavior with threading present.

Previous works examining depletion interactions between colloids in polymer solutions have shown that, when polymers are highly overlapping, the principal length scale that dictates depletion interactions in some cases is the correlation blob size \( \zeta \) of the polymers rather than \( \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{G}} \) (or \( \sigma \)).\(^7\) Similar to \( \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{G}} \), the blob size for ring DNA is smaller than that for linear DNA (\( \zeta_{\mathcal{L}} \equiv 1.8\zeta_{\mathcal{R}} \), see the SI), and the corresponding SPT phase diagram is qualitatively the same (Figure S5).

Figure 5. DNA end-closure dictates the degree of polymerization and flocculation of microtubules in DNA-MT composites. (a) Confocal micrographs of microtubules polymerized from rhodamine-labeled tubulin dimers of varying concentrations (listed above each row and color-coded as in (c)) in buffer (MT, top), ring DNA solutions (R-MT, middle), and linear DNA solutions (L-MT, bottom). (b) Average spatial image autocorrelation curves \( g(r) \) computed from confocal images for [tubulin] = 3.5 \( \mu \) M (see Figure S2 for a complete set of autocorrelation curves). (c) Structural correlation lengths \( \xi \) determined from fits of the autocorrelation curves to \( g(r) \propto r^{-\xi} \) for all cases shown in (a). Error bars, some of which are smaller than the symbol size, are determined from fits to corresponding \( g(r) \) curves.
biography, chemical engineering, and materials applications.

polymer composites, which has broad reaching implications in
the role that end-closure plays in the rheology and structure of
tubulin concentration. Our results shed important new light on
a unique nonmonotonic dependence of elastic strength on
larger force response in L-MTs compared to R-MTs, as well as
conformational size), hinders it, which leads to a substantially
Linear DNA promotes microtubule network formation and
confocal microscopy studies on DNA-MT composites,
increases the osmotic driving force (proportional to
becomes the depletant, driving tubulin polymerization and
and becomes larger than the DNA coils then the DNA
promoting demixing of the two species. This entropic force
and smaller size than DNA may serve as the depletant,
Finally, we point out that initially, tubulin, of higher molarity
and smaller size than DNA may serve as the depletant,
promoting demixing of the two species. This entropic force
would be greater for linear DNA than for rings given the larger
volume they take up in solution (∼σ). As tubulin polymerizes
and becomes larger than the DNA coils then the DNA
becomes the depletant, driving tubulin polymerization and
flocculation. Once again, depletion interactions in L-MTs will
be stronger than for R-MTs due to their larger volume which
increases the osmotic driving force (proportional to σ).

In conclusion, our optical tweezers microrheology and
confocal microscopy studies on DNA-MT composites,
combined with SPT calculations, show that subtle changes in
polymer conformation (free or closed ends) can have dramatic
effects on the structure and mechanics of polymer composites.
Linear DNA promotes microtubule network formation and
flocculation while ring DNA of equal length (and thus smaller
conformational size), hinders it, which leads to a substantially
larger force response in L-MTs compared to R-MTs, as well as
a unique nonmonotonic dependence of elastic strength on
tubulin concentration. Our results shed important new light on
the role that end-closure plays in the rheology and structure of
polymer composites, which has broad reaching implications in
biology, chemical engineering, and materials applications.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

Many of the materials and methods are described in the preceding
sections and in the captions of Figures 1–6. More detailed
descriptions of all experimental materials and methods as well as
theoretical calculations are included in the Supporting Information.
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